

In the Disturbance Call event, competitors respond to a simulated disturbance scenario. Participants will engage in de-escalation techniques, conduct interviews, and assess the situation to determine the appropriate course of action.

Entry Requirements

- Teams must be composed of 2 members.
- Chapters can only register 1 teams to compete.

Materials

Only the below materials are permitted in the competition.

- **Pen** Blue or Black Ink
- **Duty Gear** 1- training pistol, 1- set of handcuffs, duty belt with holsters to secure all equipment, and any additional optional training equipment (I.E. training taser, training o/c, non-working training radios).
- **Blank writing tablet of any size for note taking**
- **Photo Identification** Reference [the rulebook \(https://tpsa.info/rulebook\)](https://tpsa.info/rulebook) for details

Supplemental Documents

- [Citation](#)
- [Victims rights notification](#)

Procedures and Timeline

- **Check In (10 min Time Limit)** Competitors must check in to their event at their designated **check-in time**. Competitors that arrive **ten (10) minutes** after their designated check-in time will be marked as no-shows and not be allowed to compete out of respect for the time commitment made by our judges and volunteers.
- **Pre-Event Briefing (5 min Time Limit)** After check-in, competitors will be guided to the designated event area. Once there, the moderator will provide a comprehensive briefing, detailing the event's instructions, rules, and procedures. This briefing ensures that each competitor is well-informed and prepared for the subsequent stages of the competition.
- **Part 1 (15 min Time Limit)**
 1. The room moderator will set a timer for fifteen (15) minutes.
 2. When the room moderator starts the timer and calls "start", each team will:
 - De-escalate the scene
 - Interview all necessary parties
 - Arrests subjects (if applicable)
 3. The moderator will call "time" when the 15 minute timer sounds. Competitors who have not completed the interview/ arrest process when time is called will be scored as-is and lose points in Phase II for anything not completed in Phase I.
- **Part 2 (5 min Time Limit)** Suspects will be removed from handcuffs before beginning Phase II.
 1. The room moderator will set a time for five (5) minutes.
 2. When the room moderators starts the time and calls "start", the Officer in Charge (competitor) will report a summary of the investigation and arrest/non arrest decision(s) to the judge.
- **Evaluation and Scoring (5 min Time Limit)** After the completion of the event, judges will convene to assess each competitor's/team's performance based on a standardized rubric. This stage is conducted without the presence of the competitors. Judges will evaluate the criteria outlined in the rubric to ensure a fair and objective scoring process. Once all assessments are finalized, scores will be recorded for each competitor/team.

Safety Protocols

- **Respect for Safety Regulations** Competitors are expected to adhere to all general safety regulations of the venue and any additional rules provided by the moderators or judges.
- **Physical Contact** Physical contact should be limited and respectful during the roleplay. Any action that could potentially harm or make another competitor uncomfortable is strictly prohibited.

Criteria	Unattempted	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	Proficient	Exemplary	Points
Professionalism						
Dress Code	<p>0</p> <p>Does not fulfill the dress code requirements.</p>		<p>10</p> <p>Fulfills the dress code requirements.</p>			Opts
Proper Documentation						
Documentation	<p>0</p> <p>The competitors will be required to present Victims rights notification paperwork, and a TPSA citation to the judge prior to entering the scenario</p>		<p>5</p> <p>provided 1 of the 2 documents</p>		<p>10</p> <p>provided all the documents</p>	Opts
Team Function						
Lead Officer	<p>0</p> <p>No leadership is shown</p>	<p>3</p> <p>Both officers share leadership. No clear leader and situation is not handled appropriately</p>	<p>6</p> <p>Both officers share leadership. No clear leader but situation is handled appropriately</p>		<p>10</p> <p>One officer clearly identified and in charge of the scenario.</p>	Opts
Teamwork	<p>0</p> <p>Officers worked well as a team</p> <p>no team work or delegation of responsibilities</p>	<p>4</p> <p>Delegated responsibilities, but officers did not know their role.</p>	<p>7</p> <p>Delegated responsibilities, worked well together, but officers did not know their role</p>	<p>9</p> <p>Delegated responsibilities, worked well, together, but did know their role through the most of the scenario</p>	<p>10</p> <p>worked well, delegated responsibilities and knew their role through the entire scenario.</p>	Opts
Command Presence						
Officer Communication	<p>0</p> <p>Officers effectively and professionally communicated with suspects/ witnesses.. 1. The officers separated parties and questioned them separately. 2. identified all parties involved 3. identified the relationship between the parties. 4. identify the offense. 5. Identified the aggressor</p> <p>completed 0-1 of the 5 criteria</p>	<p>4</p> <p>completed 2 of the 5 criteria</p>	<p>7</p> <p>completed 3 of the 5 criteria</p>	<p>9</p> <p>completed 4 of the 5 criteria</p>	<p>10</p> <p>completed 5 of the 5 criteria</p>	Opts
De-escalation and control	<p>0</p> <p>Competitors shall show officer presence and take control of the situation. They use de-escalation tactics to take control and maintain control of the scenario.</p> <p>no officer command presence was not able to get control or maintain control at any point.</p>	<p>4</p> <p>Competitors showed de-escalation tactics but did not separate the parties and did not maintain control throughout the scenario</p>	<p>7</p> <p>Competitors showed de-escalation tactics and did separate the parties but did not maintain control</p>	<p>9</p> <p>Competitors showed de-escalation tactics and they did separate the parties but maintained limited control throughout the scenario</p>	<p>10</p> <p>Competitors separated the parties, showed officer presence and de-escalated the scenario and maintained complete control through the whole scenario.</p>	Opts
Interview and Decision Making Process						
Use of Thorough Questioning	<p>0</p> <p>Officers asked thorough questions to elicit responses about the incident. 1. injuries (visible) how were they sustained. 2. establish the actual relationship between the two parties 3. if a witness is present, ensure they question the witness. 4. establish a timeline, a continuation of family violence.</p> <p>completed no tasks</p>	<p>4</p> <p>completed 1 of the 4 tasks</p>	<p>7</p> <p>completed 2 of the 4 tasks</p>	<p>9</p> <p>completed 3 of the 4 tasks</p>	<p>10</p> <p>completed 4 of the 4 tasks</p>	Opts

Criteria	Unattempted	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	Proficient	Exemplary	Points
<p>Appropriate decision making process- ticket or arrest</p> <p>This section is scenario driven. There are multiple parts for each grading level that must be scored if the scenario is a class c offense or if the offense calls for an arrest. If scenarios is a Class C offence, I.E. loud music, class C assault, provocative speech or gestures etc., officers shall properly identify the victim, aggressor, witnesses, and the offence that occurred. Officer make an appropriate decision that a class c offence occurred and a citation is issued. <i>or</i> If the offense calls for an arrest, I.E. Domestic Assaults, felony assault, etc. Officers shall properly identify the victim, aggressor, witnesses, and the offence that occurred. Officer make an appropriate decision that an arrestable criminal offense has occurred and the correct suspect is placed under arrest, handcuffs applied when necessary and double locked them.</p>	<p>0</p> <p>Did not identify any individuals, or the offence and did not make an appropriate decision.</p>	<p>4</p> <p>Competitors did not properly identified the victim and suspect. The decision was made to issue a citation but the citation was issued to the wrong person. No victims paperwork is issued. <i>or</i> Competitors did not properly identified the victim and suspect. The decision was made to arrest but wrong person was arrested. No victims paperwork is issued.</p>	<p>7</p> <p>Officers used some decision making processes. Competitors properly identify the victim and suspect. The correct decision was made to write the citation and was issued to the correct person for the wrong offence. No victim paperwork was issued to the victim. <i>or</i> Officers used some decision making processes. Competitors properly identify the victim and suspect. The correct decision was made and the correct person was arrested for the wrong offence. No victim paperwork was issued to the victim.</p>	<p>9</p> <p>Officers used good decision making processes. Competitors properly identify the victim and suspect. The correct decision was made that the citation was issued to the correct person for the correct offence. No victim paperwork was issued to the victim. <i>or</i> Officers used good decision making processes. Competitors properly identify the victim and suspect. The correct decision was made and the correct person was arrested for the correct offence. No victim paperwork was issued to the victim.</p>	<p>10</p> <p>Officers used proper decision making processes. Competitors properly identify the victim and suspect. The correct decision was made, the citation was issued to the correct person for the correct offence. Correct victim paperwork was issued to the victim. <i>or</i> Officers used proper decision making processes. Competitors properly identify the victim and suspect. The correct decision was made to arrest. The correct person was arrested for the correct offence. Correct victim paperwork was issued to the victim.</p>	<p>Opts</p>
Team/Officer Safety						
<p>Officer Safety</p> <p>1. Proper personal officer safety used at all times (gun side away and prepared for necessary action). 2. Officers maintained visual contact with suspects and witnesses (didn't allow them to leave their sight). 3. Officers controlled suspects, not allowing them to place hands out of officers view. 4. Officers secured and cleared any weapons. (Clearing must be articulated) 5. Scene was checked for safety.</p>	<p>0</p> <p>Completed 0 to only 1 of the 5.</p>	<p>4</p> <p>Completed 2 of the 5.</p>	<p>7</p> <p>Completed 3 of the 5.</p>	<p>9</p> <p>Completed 4 of the 5.</p>	<p>10</p> <p>Completed 5 of the 5.</p>	<p>Opts</p>
OIC Communication with Judge(s)						
<p>Communication with judge</p> <p>1. Officers in charge relay the proper offense for arrest (if made). 2. Officer in charge relays probable cause for arrest (if made).</p>	<p>0</p> <p>Competitors were not able to relay any information.</p>	<p>5</p> <p>Competitors relayed partial information and some of the information was incorrect.</p>	<p>7</p> <p>Competitors relayed partial information and the information was accurate.</p>	<p>9</p> <p>Competitors relayed accurate information but did not elaborate on the probable cause.</p>	<p>10</p> <p>Competitors relayed accurate information and provided a detailed synopsis of the probable cause and offense.</p>	<p>Opts</p>
Total Score: 0 /100 pts						